

# SOLICITOR

AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

SEP - 4 2007

TO: <b>Mail Stop 8</b> <b>Director of the U.S. Patent &amp; Trademark Office</b> <b>P.O. Box 1450</b> <b>Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</b>	<b>U.S. PATENT &amp; TRADEMARK OFFICE</b> <b>REPORT ON THE</b> <b>FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN</b> <b>ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR</b> <b>TRADEMARK</b>
---	--

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been  
filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following ☒ Patents or ☐ Trademarks:

DOCKET NO. <b>CV 07-04424 MEJ</b>	DATE FILED <b>8/27/07</b>	U.S. DISTRICT COURT <b>Northern District of California, San Francisco Division</b>
PLAINTIFF <b>MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.</b>		DEFENDANT <b>ANPEC ELECTRONICS CORP.</b>
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
<b>1 7,061,327</b>		
<b>2</b>		
<b>3</b>		
<b>4</b>		
<b>5</b>		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
<b>1</b>			
<b>2</b>			
<b>3</b>			
<b>4</b>			
<b>5</b>			

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT
--------------------

CLERK <b>Richard W. Wieking</b>	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK <b>Gloria Acevedo</b>	DATE <b>August 30, 2007</b>
------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner  
Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

1 Chad S. Campbell, Arizona Bar No. 012080  
CSCampbell@perkinscoie.com  
2 PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A.  
2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000  
3 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2788  
Telephone: 602.351.8000  
4 Facsimile: 602.648.7000

5 James R. Hannah, Bar No. 237978  
JHannah@perkinscoie.com  
6 PERKINS COIE LLP  
101 Jefferson Drive  
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025-1114  
Telephone: 650.838.4300  
8 Facsimile: 650.838.4350

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.

ORIGINAL  
FILED

07 AUG 27 PM 3: 07

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NO. 1 DISTRICT OF CALIF.

ADR

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13  
14 MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS,  
INC.,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 ANPEC ELECTRONICS CORP.,

18 Defendant.

C07

04424

MEJ

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT

Demand for Jury Trial

20 Plaintiff Maxim Integrated Products Inc. ("Maxim") alleges the following against  
21 Defendant Anpec Electronics Corp. ("Defendant"):

22 **The Parties**

23 1. Maxim is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Sunnyvale,  
24 California. Maxim develops, manufactures, markets, licenses and operates mixed signal  
25 integrated circuit products based on proprietary technology.

26 2. On information and belief, Defendant Anpec Electronics Corp. is a Taiwan  
27 corporation with its principal place of business in Hsin-Chu, Taiwan.  
28

1                                   **Jurisdiction and Venue**

2           3.       This Court has jurisdiction over Maxim's patent infringement claim pursuant to  
3 the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, et seq., and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338.

4           4.       Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c),  
5 and 1400(b) because certain of the acts complained of occurred in this judicial district, and  
6 because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district, and therefore  
7 "resides" in the district.

8                                   **Patent Infringement**

9           5.       On June 13, 2006, U.S. Patent No. 7,061,327, entitled "SINGLE SUPPLY  
10 HEADPHONE DRIVER/CHARGE PUMP COMBINATION," (the "'327 patent") was issued to  
11 inventor Tony Doy. A copy of the '327 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

12          6.       Maxim is the owner by assignment of the '327 patent and has the full and  
13 exclusive right to bring suit to enforce it.

14          7.       The '327 patent relates generally to driver amplifier circuits.

15          8.       On information and belief, Defendant has been and is still infringing, contributing  
16 to infringement, and/or inducing others to infringe the '327 patent by making, using, offering for  
17 sale, selling, or importing integrated circuit products. Defendants' acts of infringement have  
18 occurred in this judicial district and elsewhere.

19                               **Count One**

20          9.       Maxim incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 8 above.

21          10.      In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to  
22 infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '327 patent by practicing one or  
23 more claims of the patent in its manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation of  
24 integrated circuit products that provide driver amplification and by inducing or contributing to the  
25 infringement of the '327 patent by others.

26          11.      Maxim has been damaged by Defendant's infringement and, unless Defendant  
27 secures a license to the '327 patent from Maxim or is enjoined by this Court, Defendant will  
28 continue its infringing activity and Maxim will continue to be damaged.

1 **Prayer for Relief**

2 Maxim prays for the following relief against Defendant:

3 (a) A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendant, its officers, agents,  
4 servants, employees, attorneys, all parent and subsidiary corporations, all assignees and  
5 successors in interest, and those persons in active concert or participation with Defendant,  
6 including distributors and customers, enjoining them from continuing acts of infringement of  
7 Maxim's '327 patent;

8 (b) An award of damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's infringement of  
9 Maxim's '327 patent, including damages based on lost profits, lost royalties and price erosion,  
10 together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

11 (c) A trebling of said damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

12 (d) An award of attorney's fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

13 (e) Any other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

14 DATED: Aug. 27, 2007 **PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A.**

15 By: 

16 Chad S. Campbell, Arizona Bar No. 012080  
17 2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2788

18 James R. Hannah, Bar No. 237978  
19 PERKINS COIE LLP  
101 Jefferson Drive  
20 Menlo Park, CA 94025-1114

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
22 Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

13351172.1